UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,811	12/14/2004	Pierre-Andre Delmas	0540-1027	8608
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 11/26/200 <b>OMPSON</b>	EXAMINER		
209 Madison St Suite 500	reet	PIERY, MICHAEL T		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/517,811	DELMAS, PIERRE-ANDRE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MICHAEL T. PIERY	1791	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u> 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and a subject to subject to by the Examination and a subject to subject	awn from consideration.  /or election requirement.  ner.  /are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objec	•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document copies of the priority document all Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/14/04.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/517,811 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-6 in the reply filed on October 9, 2008 is acknowledged.

Claims 7-12 have been cancelled.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Allman et al (US 6,355,320).

Regarding claim 1, Allman teaches placing a polymeric material comprising VAE (Claim 4) in an extruder comprising a casing, screw, and nozzle (Column 7, lines 55-67), injecting gas under pressure into the polymer (Column 8, lines 8-17), shaping the material through the nozzle

of the extruder (Column 9, lines 38-50) and cutting the extrusion to form stoppers (Column 10, lines 20-25). It is not explicitly stated that the mixture is homogenized, however it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to homogenize the mixture in order to produce a uniform product, a desired property according to Allman (Column 5, line 20).

Regarding claim 2, the coloring material is introduced at the outset (Column 11, lines 15-20) and the gas is injected upstream (Column 8, lines 1-5).

Regarding claim 3, Allman teaches the density is between 0.2 and 0.6 g/cm<sup>3</sup> (Column 5, lines 30-35).

Regarding claim 4, Allman teaches incorporating HDPE or LDPE with VAE (Claims 3 and 4).

Regarding claim 5, Allman teaches the claimed composition ranges for the stopper (Claim 7).

Regarding claim 6, Allman teaches there is a finishing operation carried out (Column 11, line 65-Column 12, line 5).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL T. PIERY whose telephone number is (571)270-5047. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,811 Page 4

Art Unit: 1791

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael T Piery/ Examiner, Art Unit 1791

/Christina Johnson/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791